



Index to Volume 6

1 is the Winter 1995/96 issue

2 is the Spring 1996 issue

3 is the Summer 1996 issue

4 is the Autumn 1996 issue

AUTHORS

Astin, Jared, and Sanders, Dee Ann. The Role of Cost in Remedy Selection, No. 3, 55-68

Azadpour, Azadeh, and Matthews, John E. Remediation of Metal-Contaminated Sites Using Plants, No. 3, 1-18

Barfield, Lisa, Copeland, Teri L., and Pesin, Richard. Using Risk Assessment To Achieve Cost-Effective Property Transfers and Site Closures for Former UST Sites, No. 1, 1-18

Bass, David H., Ram, Neil M., Nelson, Christopher H., and Clayton, Wilson S. In-Situ Sparging: Mass Transfer Mechanisms, No. 4, 15-29

Booth, Steven R., and Cummings, Mark. Passive Soil Vapor Extraction: A Cost Effectiveness Study, No. 3, 69-80

Bostick, Kenneth V., Warren, Ronald W., and Hakonson, Thomas E. Choosing the Most Effective Hazardous Waste Landfill Cover, No. 2, 23-42

Brown, James R., and Cameron, Kirk. To Clean or Not To Clean: A Geostatistical Approach for Evaluating Contaminated Soils, No. 3, 19-44

Brown, Nancy Bacon, and Simon, John A. From the Editors, No. 2, 1-2

Brown, Richard A., Hinchee, Robert E., Norris, Robert D., and Wilson, John T. Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons: A Flexible, Variable Speed Technology, No. 3, 95-110

Bryant, Chris, and Sears, Ted. The HWIR Contaminated Media Rule: Industry's Response, No. 4, 137-140

Bryant, Chris. A New Direction for RCRA Corrective Actions, No. 3, 111-114

Bryant, Chris. Status of Superfund Reauthorization, No. 2, 121-122

Bryant, Chris. Superfund Remedy Selection Reform

Takes Shape, No. 1, 111-113

Burton, Charles, and Jacot, Brian J. Case Study: An Innovative Approach to Chemical Landfill Remediation, No. 1, 81-94

Callaban, Barbara G., Patrick, Penelope A., and Reece, Karen D. Sensitivity Analysis for Setting Soil Cleanup Standards, No. 1, 19-36

Cameron, Kirk, and Brown, James R. To Clean or Not To Clean: A Geostatistical Approach for Evaluating Contaminated Soils, No. 3, 19-44

Carson, John H., Jr., Yao, Ruji, Laird, Gregory J., Woodhull, Patrick M., Jerger, Douglas E., Lear, Paul R., Flathman, Paul E., Krupp, Bryon J., Zottola, Paul, and Trausch, Jason R. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

Chowdhury, Ajit K., Stolzenburg, Thomas R., Stanforth, Robert R., Warner, Michael A., and LaRowe, Mark E. Underwater Treatment of Lead-Contaminated Sediment, No. 2, 15-22

Clausner, James E., and Palermo, Michael R. Concepts for Sediment Restoration on the Palos Verdes Shelf, California, No. 4, 67-81

Clayton, Wilson S., Bass, David H., Ram, Neil M., and Nelson, Christopher H. In-Situ Sparging: Mass Transfer Mechanisms, No. 4, 15-29

Copeland, Teri L., Pesin, Richard, and Barfield, Lisa. Using Risk Assessment To Achieve Cost-Effective Property Transfers and Site Closures for Former UST Sites, No. 1, 1-18

Crider, Suzanne E., and Simon, John A. Brownfields—A Status Report on Recent Developments, No. 3, 115-123

Cummings, Mark, and Booth, Steven R. Passive Soil Vapor Extraction: A Cost Effectiveness Study, No. 3, 69-80

Cunnane, James C., Lee, S.Y., Tidwell, Vincent C., and Morris, David E. Characterizing Soils Contaminated with Heavy Metals: A Uranium Contamination Case Study, No. 2, 81-96

Davis, Kimberly L., and Kato, Kinya. Current Use of Bioremediation for TCE Cleanup: Results of a Survey, No. 4, 1-14

Dennis, Ronald M., Roth, Mary J.S., Krishnan, Ramesh, Parker, Harry W., and Snyder, Brian M. Evaluation of Soil-Washing Process for "Unwashable" Clays and Silts from the Palmerton Zinc Site, No. 1, 69-80

Doherty, Catherine M., and Dolan, Kirstin L. New Technologies Cut Investigation and Remediation Costs, No. 4, 141-152

Doherty, Catherine M., and Simon, John A. EPA's Proposed "HWIR" Regulation: An Analysis from the Remedial Perspective, No. 2, 111-120

Dolan, Kirstin L., and Doherty, Catherine M. New Technologies Cut Investigation and Remediation Costs, No. 4, 141-152

Elmore, Andrew Curtis. Systematically Selecting an Alternative To Remediate Soil Contaminating Groundwater, No. 2, 43-56

Erickson, Larry E., Yang, Xiaoqing, and Fan, L.T. A Conceptual Study on the Bio-Wall Technology: Feasibility and Process Design, No. 1, 55-68

Fan, L.T., Erickson, Larry E., and Yang, Xiaoqing. A Conceptual Study on the Bio-Wall Technology: Feasibility and Process Design, No. 1, 55-68

Findley, Dean, and Whitridge, Jill. Perspective and Trends in the Environmental Remediation Industry, No. 4, 83-97

Flathman, Paul E., Krupp, Bryon J., Zottola, Paul, Trausch, Jason R., Carson, John H., Jr., Yao, Ruji, Laird, Gregory J., Woodhull, Patrick M., Jerger, Douglas E., and Lear, Paul R. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

Focht, Robert, Vogan, John, and O'Hannessin, Stephanie. Field Application of Reactive Iron Walls for In-Situ Degradation of Volatile Organic Compounds in Groundwater, No. 3, 81-94

Fox, Catherine A. Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, No. 1, 115-119

Fox, Catherine A. EPA's New Voluntary Environmental Self-Policing and Self-Disclosure Policy, No. 2, 123-126

Fox, Catherine A. Preview of the National Sediment Quality Survey: EPA's Report to Congress on Sediment Contamination in the United States, No. 4, 153-158

Fox, Catherine A. Tools and Software Systems for Environmental Cost Decision Making, No. 3, 125-128

Gates, Walter C., Jr., and Marshall, Elizabeth M. Preliminary Comparison of Alternative Designs for Soil Remediation by Bioventilation, No. 4, 115-135

Germann, Ray, and Simmonds, Clifford. Using Community Relations To Reduce Costs during Remediation Projects, No. 1, 95-102

Hakonson, Thomas E., Bostick, Kenneth V., and Warren, Ronald W. Choosing the Most Effective Hazardous Waste Landfill Cover, No. 2, 23-42

Hinchee, Robert E., Norris, Robert D., Wilson, John T., and Brown, Richard A. Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons: A Flexible, Variable Speed Technology, No. 3, 95-110

Jacot, Brian J., and Burton, Charles. Case Study: An Innovative Approach to Chemical Landfill Remediation, No. 1, 81-94

Jerger, Douglas E., Lear, Paul R., Flathman, Paul E., Krupp, Bryon J., Zottola, Paul, Trausch, Jason R., Carson, John H., Jr., Yao, Ruji, Laird, Gregory J., and Woodhull, Patrick M. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

Johnson, Jeffrey G., Koenigsberg, Stephen S., and Odencrantz, Joseph E. Enhanced Intrinsic Bioremediation of Hydrocarbons Using an Oxygen-Releasing Compound, No. 4, 99-114

Kato, Kinya, and Davis, Kimberly L. Current Use of Bioremediation for TCE Cleanup: Results of a Survey, No. 4, 1-14

Keefer, Gary B., Werner, Frank T., and Walters, James E. Bioventing Pilot Test Results at the Low Point Drain Area at Offutt AFB, Nebraska, No. 1, 37-54

Kirk, Charles E., and Tillman, J. Edward. Expediting Remedial Designs and Assessments, No. 2, 97-110

Koenigsberg, Stephen S., Odencrantz, Joseph E., and Johnson, Jeffrey G. Enhanced Intrinsic Bioremediation of Hydrocarbons Using an Oxygen-Releasing Compound, No. 4, 99-114

Krishnan, Ramesh, Parker, Harry W., Snyder, Brian M., Dennis, Ronald M., and Roth, Mary J.S. Evaluation of Soil-Washing Process for "Unwashable" Clays and Silts from the Palmerton Zinc Site, No. 1, 69-80

Krupp, Bryon J., Zottola, Paul, Trausch, Jason R., Carson, John H., Jr., Yao, Ruji, Laird, Gregory J., Woodhull, Patrick M., Jerger, Douglas E., Lear, Paul R., and Flathman, Paul E. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

Kulik, Ernest J., Lingle, Joseph P., Nowlan, Thomas A., and Nesbit, Rickie G. Industrial Facility Deactivation: A Proactive Approach for Reducing Costs and Liabilities, No. 4, 51-66

Laird, Gregory J., Woodhull, Patrick M., Jerger, Douglas E., Lear, Paul R., Flathman, Paul E., Krupp, Bryon J., Zottola, Paul, Trausch, Jason R., Carson, John H., Jr., and Yao, Ruji. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

LaRowe, Mark E., Chowdhury, Ajit K., Stolzenburg, Thomas R., Stanforth, Robert R., and Warner, Michael A. Underwater Treatment of Lead-Contaminated Sediment, No. 2, 15-22

Lear, Paul R., Flathman, Paul E., Krupp, Bryon J., Zottola, Paul, Trausch, Jason R., Carson, John H., Jr., Yao, Ruji, Laird, Gregory J., Woodhull, Patrick M., and Jerger, Douglas E. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

Lee, S.Y., Tidwell, Vincent C., Morris, David E., and Cunnane, James C. Characterizing Soils Contaminated with Heavy Metals: A Uranium Contamination Case Study, No. 2, 81-96

Lingle, Joseph P., Nowlan, Thomas A., Nesbit, Rickie G., and Kulik, Ernest J. Industrial Facility Deactivation: A Proactive Approach for Reducing Costs and Liabilities, No. 4, 51-66

Lister, Kenneth H. Fast-Track Remediation for Redevelopment of a Former Integrated Steel Mill Site, No. 4, 31-50

Marshall, Elizabeth M., and Gates, Walter C., Jr. Preliminary Comparison of Alternative Designs for Soil Remediation by Bioventilation, No. 4, 115-135

Matthews, John E., and Azadpour, Azadeh. Remediation of Metal-Contaminated Sites Using Plants, No. 3, 1-18

Morris, David E., Cunnane, James C., Lee, S.Y., and Tidwell, Vincent C. Characterizing Soils Contaminated with Heavy Metals: A Uranium Contamination Case Study, No. 2, 81-96

Nelson, Christopher H., Clayton, Wilson S., Bass, David H., and Ram, Neil M. In-Situ Sparging: Mass Transfer Mechanisms, No. 4, 15-29

Nesbit, Rickie G., Kulik, Ernest J., Lingle, Joseph P., and Nowlan, Thomas A. Industrial Facility Deactivation: A Proactive Approach for Reducing Costs and Liabilities, No. 4, 51-66

Norris, Robert D., Wilson, John T., Brown, Richard A., and Hinchee, Robert E. Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons: A Flexible, Variable Speed Technology, No. 3, 95-110

Nowlan, Thomas A., Nesbit, Rickie G., Kulik, Ernest J., and Lingle, Joseph P. Industrial Facility Deactivation: A Proactive Approach for Reducing Costs and Liabilities, No. 4, 51-66

Odencrantz, Joseph E., Johnson, Jeffrey G., and Koenigsberg, Stephen S. Enhanced Intrinsic Bioremediation of Hydrocarbons Using an Oxygen-Releasing Compound, No. 4, 99-114

O'Hannisin, Stephanie, Focht, Robert, and Vogan, John. Field Application of Reactive Iron Walls for In-Situ Degradation of Volatile Organic Compounds in Groundwater, No. 3, 81-94

Painter, Jennifer P. Understanding Technology Trends for Remediation Projects, No. 2, 3-14

Palermo, Michael R., and Clausner, James E. Concepts for Sediment Restoration on the Palos Verdes Shelf, California, No. 4, 67-81

Parker, Harry W., Snyder, Brian M., Dennis, Ronald M., Roth, Mary J.S., and Ramesh, Krishnan. Evaluation of Soil-Washing Process for "Unwashable" Clays and Silts from the Palmerton Zinc Site, No. 1, 69-80

Patrick, Penelope A., Reece, Karen D., and Callaban, Barbara G. Sensitivity Analysis for Setting Soil Cleanup Standards, No. 1, 19-36

Pesin, Richard, Barfield, Lisa, and Copeland, Teri L. Using Risk Assessment To Achieve Cost-Effective Property Transfers and Site Closures for Former UST Sites, No. 1, 1-18

Ram, Neil M., Nelson, Christopher H., Clayton,

Wilson S., and Bass, David H. In-Situ Sparging: Mass Transfer Mechanisms, No. 4, 15-29

Reece, Karen D., Callaban, Barbara G., and Patrick, Penelope A. Sensitivity Analysis for Setting Soil Cleanup Standards, No. 1, 19-36

Roth, Mary J.S., Krishnan, Ramesh, Parker, Harry W., Snyder, Brian M., and Dennis, Ronald M. Evaluation of Soil-Washing Process for "Unwashable" Clays and Silts from the Palmerton Zinc Site, No. 1, 69-80

Sanders, Dee Ann, and Astin, Jared. The Role of Cost in Remedy Selection, No. 3, 55-68

Sears, Ted, and Bryant, Chris. The HWIR Contaminated Media Rule: Industry's Response, No. 4, 137-140

Simmonds, Clifford, and Germann, Ray. Using Community Relations To Reduce Costs during Remediation Projects, No. 1, 95-102

Simon, John A., and Brown, Nancy Bacon. From the Editors, No. 2, 1-2

Simon, John A., and Crider, Suzanne E. Brownfields—A Status Report on Recent Developments, No. 3, 115-123

Simon, John A., and Doherty, Catherine M. EPA's Proposed "HWIR" Regulation: An Analysis from the Remedial Perspective, No. 2, 111-120

Snyder, Brian M., Dennis, Ronald M., Roth, Mary J.S., Krishnan, Ramesh, and Parker, Harry W. Evaluation of Soil-Washing Process for "Unwashable" Clays and Silts from the Palmerton Zinc Site, No. 1, 69-80

Stanforth, Robert R., Warner, Michael A., LaRowe, Mark E., Chowdhury, Ajit K., and Stolzenburg, Thomas R. Underwater Treatment of Lead-Contaminated Sediment, No. 2, 15-22

Stirling, Dale. Accessing EPA's Hazardous Waste Remediation Technology Information, No. 3, 45-54

Stolzenburg, Thomas R., Stanforth, Robert R., Warner, Michael A., LaRowe, Mark E., and Chowdhury, Ajit K. Underwater Treatment of Lead-Contaminated Sediment, No. 2, 15-22

Suplick, Benedict L. Remediation Controls for Noncontrol Engineers, No. 1, 103-109

Tidwell, Vincent C., Morris, David E., Cunnane, James C., and Lee, S.Y. Characterizing Soils Contaminated with Heavy Metals: A Uranium Contamination Case Study, No. 2, 81-96

Tillman, J. Edward, and Kirk, Charles E. Expediting Remedial Designs and Assessments, No. 2, 97-110

Trausch, Jason R., Carson, John H., Jr., Yao, Ruji, Laird, Gregory J., Woodhull, Patrick M., Jerger, Douglas E., Lear, Paul R., Flathman, Paul E., Krupp, Bryon J., and Zottola, Paul. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

Vogan, John, O'Hannessin, Stephanie, and Focht, Robert. Field Application of Reactive Iron Walls for In-Situ Degradation of Volatile Organic Compounds in Groundwater, No. 3, 81-94

Walters, James E., Keefer, Gary B., and Werner, Frank T. Bioventing Pilot Test Results at the Low Point Drain Area at Offutt AFB, Nebraska, No. 1, 37-54

Warner, Michael A., LaRowe, Mark E., Chowdhury, Ajit K., Stolzenburg, Thomas R., and Stanforth, Robert R. Underwater Treatment of Lead-Contaminated Sediment, No. 2, 15-22

Warren, Ronald W., Hakonson, Thomas E., and Bostick, Kenneth V. Choosing the Most Effective Hazardous Waste Landfill Cover, No. 2, 23-42

Werner, Frank T., Walters, James E., and Keefer, Gary B. Bioventing Pilot Test Results at the Low Point Drain Area at Offutt AFB, Nebraska, No. 1, 37-54

Whitridge, Jill, and Findley, Dean. Perspective and Trends in the Environmental Remediation Industry, No. 4, 83-97

Wilson, John T., Brown, Richard A., Hinchee, Robert E., and Norris, Robert D. Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons: A Flexible, Variable Speed Technology, No. 3, 95-110

Woodhull, Patrick M., Jerger, Douglas E., Lear, Paul R., Flathman, Paul E., Krupp, Bryon J., Zottola, Paul, Trausch, Jason R., Carson, John H., Jr., Yao, Ruji, and Laird, Gregory J. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

Yang, Xiaoqing, Fan, L.T., and Erickson, Larry E. A Conceptual Study on the Bio-Wall Technology: Feasibility and Process Design, No. 1, 55-68

Yao, Ruji, Laird, Gregory J., Woodhull, Patrick M.,

Jerger, Douglas E., Lear, Paul R., Flathman, Paul E., Krupp, Bryon J., Zottola, Paul, Trausch, Jason R., and Carson, John H., Jr. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

Zottola, Paul, Trausch, Jason R., Carson, John H., Jr., Yao, Ruji, Laird, Gregory J., Woodhull, Patrick M., Jerger, Douglas E., Lear, Paul R., Flathman, Paul E., and Krupp, Bryon J. In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, No. 2, 57-80

BIOREMEDIATION

Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons: A Flexible, Variable Speed Technology, Richard A. Brown, Robert E. Hinchee, Robert D. Norris, and John T. Wilson, No. 3, 95-110

Current Use of Bioremediation for TCE Cleanup: Results of a Survey, Kinya Kato and Kimberly L. Davis, No. 4, 1-14

Enhanced Intrinsic Bioremediation of Hydrocarbons Using an Oxygen-Releasing Compound, Joseph E. Odencrantz, Jeffrey G. Johnson, and Stephen S. Koenigsberg, No. 4, 99-114

BIOVENTILATION

Preliminary Comparison of Alternative Designs for Soil Remediation by Bioventilation, Walter C. Gates, Jr., and Elizabeth M. Marshall, No. 4, 115-135

BIOVENTING

Bioventing Pilot Test Results at the Low Point Drain Area at Offutt AFB, Nebraska, Frank T. Werner, James E. Walters, and Gary B. Keefer, No. 1, 37-54

BIO-WALL TECHNOLOGY

A Conceptual Study on the Bio-Wall Technology: Feasibility and Process Design, Xiaoqing Yang, L.T. Fan, and Larry E. Erickson, No. 1, 55-68

CASE STUDIES

Bioventing Pilot Test Results at the Low Point Drain Area at Offutt AFB, Nebraska, Frank T. Werner, James E. Walters, and Gary B. Keefer, No. 1, 37-54

Case Study: An Innovative Approach to Chemical Landfill Remediation, Brian J. Jacot and Charles Burton, No. 1, 81-94

Concepts for Sediment Restoration on the Palos Verdes Shelf, California, Michael R. Palermo and James E. Clausner, No. 4, 67-81

Evaluation of Soil-Washing Process for "Unwashable" Clays and Silts from the Palmerton Zinc Site, Brian M. Snyder, Ronald M. Dennis, Mary J.S. Roth, Ramesh Krishnan, and Harry W. Parker, No. 1, 69-80

COMMUNITY RELATIONS

Using Community Relations To Reduce Costs during Remediation Projects, Ray Germann and Clifford Simmonds, No. 1, 95-102

COST

The Role of Cost in Remedy Selection, Jared Astin and Dee Ann Sanders, No. 3, 55-68

Using Community Relations To Reduce Costs during Remediation Projects, Ray Germann and Clifford Simmonds, No. 1, 95-102

FAST-TRACK REMEDIATION

Fast-Track Remediation for Redevelopment of a Former Integrated Steel Mill Site, Kenneth H. Lister, No. 4, 31-50

GROUNDWATER

Field Application of Reactive Iron Walls for In-Situ Degradation of Volatile Organic Compounds in Groundwater, Robert Focht, John Vogan, and Stephanie O'Hannessin, No. 3, 81-94

Systematically Selecting an Alternative To Remediate Soil Contaminating Groundwater, Andrew Curtis Elmore, No. 2, 43-56

HAZARDOUS WASTE

Assessing EPA's Hazardous Waste Remediation Technology Information, Dale Stirling, No. 3, 45-54

Choosing the Most Effective Hazardous Waste Landfill Cover, Ronald W. Warren, Thomas E. Hakonson, and Kenneth V. Bostick, No. 2, 23-42

HEAVY METALS

Characterizing Soils Contaminated with Heavy Metals: A Uranium Contamination Case Study,

Vincent C. Tidwell, David E. Morris, James C. Cunnane, and S.Y. Lee, No. 2, 81-96

HYDROCARBONS

Enhanced Intrinsic Bioremediation of Hydrocarbons Using an Oxygen-Releasing Compound, Joseph E. Odencrantz, Jeffrey G. Johnson, and Stephen S. Koenigsberg, No. 4, 99-114

INDUSTRIAL FACILITY DEACTIVATION

Industrial Facility Deactivation: A Proactive Approach for Reducing Costs and Liabilities, Ernest J. Kulik, Joseph P. Lingle, Thomas A. Nowlan, and Rickie G. Nesbit, No. 4, 51-66

INDUSTRY TRENDS

Perspective and Trends in the Environmental Remediation Industry, Dean Findley and Jill Whitridge, No. 4, 83-97

IN-SITU BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT

In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, Paul E. Flathman, Byron J. Krupp, Paul Zottola, Jason R. Trausch, John H. Carson, Jr., Ruji Yao, Gregory J. Laird, Patrick M. Woodhull, Douglas E. Jerger, and Paul R. Lear, No. 2, 57-80

IN-SITU SPARGING

In-Situ Sparging: Mass Transfer Mechanisms, Wilson S. Clayton, David H. Bass, Neil M. Ram, and Christopher H. Nelson, No. 4, 15-29

LANDFILL

Choosing the Most Effective Hazardous Waste Landfill Cover, Ronald W. Warren, Thomas E. Hakonson, and Kenneth V. Bostick, No. 2, 23-42

LEAD CONTAMINATION

Underwater Treatment of Lead-Contaminated Sediment, Ajit K. Chowdhury, Thomas R. Stolzenburg, Robert R. Stanforth, Michael A. Warner, and Mark E. LaRowe, No. 2, 15-22

METAL CONTAMINATION

Remediation of Metal-Contaminated Sites Using Plants, Azadeh Azadpour and John E. Matthews, No. 3, 1-18

PASSIVE SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION

Passive Soil Vapor Extraction: A Cost Effectiveness Study, Mark Cummings and Steven R. Booth, No. 3, 69-80

PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons: A Flexible, Variable Speed Technology, Richard A. Brown, Robert E. Hinchee, Robert D. Norris, and John T. Wilson, No. 3, 95-110

PROPERTY TRANSFERS

Using Risk Assessment To Achieve Cost-Effective Property Transfers and Site Closures for Former UST Sites, Teri L. Copeland, Richard Pesin, and Lisa Barfield, No. 1, 1-18

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN CLEANUP

TECHNOLOGIES

Brownfields—A Status Report on Recent Developments, John A. Simon and Suzanne E. Crider, No. 3, 115-123

EPA's Proposed "HWIR" Regulation: An Analysis from the Remedial Perspective, Catherine M. Doherty and John A. Simon, No. 2, 111-120

New Technologies Cut Investigation and Remediation Costs, Catherine M. Doherty and Kirstin L. Dolan, No. 4, 141-152

Remediation Controls for Noncontrol Engineers, Benedict L. Suplick, No. 1, 103-109

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN LAWS AND REGULATIONS

The HWIR Contaminated Media Rule: Industry's Response, Chris Bryant and Ted Sears, No. 4, 137-140

A New Direction for RCRA Corrective Actions, Chris Bryant, No. 3, 111-114

Status of Superfund Reauthorization, Chris Bryant, No. 2, 121-122

Superfund Remedy Selection Reform Takes Shape, Chris Bryant, No. 1, 111-113

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN POLICY AND SCIENCE

Ecological Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Catherine A. Fox, No. 1, 115-119

EPA's New Voluntary Environmental Self-Policing

and Self-Disclosure Policy, Catherine A. Fox, No. 2, 123-126

Preview of the National Sediment Quality Survey: EPA's Report to Congress on Sediment Contamination in the United States, Catherine A. Fox, No. 4, 153-158

Tools and Software Systems for Environmental Cost Decision Making, Catherine A. Fox, No. 3, 125-128

REMEDIAL DESIGNS AND ASSESSMENTS

Expediting Remedial Designs and Assessments, J. Edward Tillman and Charles E. Kirk, No. 2, 97-110

REMEDY SELECTION

The Role of Cost in Remedy Selection, Jared Astin and Dee Ann Sanders, No. 3, 55-68

RISK ASSESSMENT

Using Risk Assessment To Achieve Cost-Effective Property Transfers and Site Closures for Former UST Sites, Teri L. Copeland, Richard Pesin, and Lisa Barfield, No. 1, 1-18

SEDIMENT

Underwater Treatment of Lead-Contaminated Sediment, Ajit K. Chowdhury, Thomas R. Stolzenburg, Robert R. Stanforth, Michael A. Warner, and Mark E. LaRowe, No. 2, 15-22

SEDIMENT RESTORATION

Concepts for Sediment Restoration on the Palos Verdes Shelf, California, Michael R. Palermo and James E. Clausner, No. 4, 67-81

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Sensitivity Analysis for Setting Soil Cleanup Standards, Penelope A. Patrick, Karen D. Reece, and Barbara G. Callaban, No. 1, 19-36

SITE CLOSURE

Using Risk Assessment To Achieve Cost-Effective Property Transfers and Site Closures for Former UST Sites, Teri L. Copeland, Richard Pesin, and Lisa Barfield, No. 1, 1-18

SOIL CONTAMINATION

Characterizing Soils Contaminated with Heavy Metals: A Uranium Contamination Case Study, Vincent C. Tidwell, David E. Morris, James C. Cunnane, and S.Y. Lee, No. 2, 81-96

To Clean or Not To Clean: A Geostatistical Approach for Evaluating Contaminated Soils, Kirk Cameron and James R. Brown, No. 3, 19-44

In-Situ Biological Treatment of Vinyl Acetate-Contaminated Soil: An Emergency Response Action, Paul E. Flathman, Byron J. Krupp, Paul Zottola, Jason R. Trausch, John H. Carson, Jr., Ruji Yao, Gregory J. Laird, Patrick M. Woodhull, Douglas E. Jerger, and Paul R. Lear, No. 2, 57-80

SOIL REMEDIATION

Preliminary Comparison of Alternative Designs for Soil Remediation by Bioventilation, Walter C. Gates, Jr., and Elizabeth M. Marshall, No. 4, 115-135

Systematically Selecting an Alternative To Remediate Soil Contaminating Groundwater, Andrew Curtis Elmore, No. 2, 43-56

SOIL-WASHING PROCESS

Evaluation of Soil-Washing Process for "Unwashable" Clays and Silts from the Palmerton Zinc Site, Brian M. Snyder, Ronald M. Dennis, Mary J.S. Roth, Ramesh Krishnan, and Harry W. Parker, No. 1, 69-80

STANDARDS

Sensitivity Analysis for Setting Soil Cleanup Standards, Penelope A. Patrick, Karen D. Reece, and Barbara G. Callaban, No. 1, 19-36

TECHNOLOGY INFORMATION

Accessing EPA's Hazardous Waste Remediation Technology Information, Dale Stirling, No. 3, 45-54

TECHNOLOGY TRENDS

Understanding Technology Trends for Remediation Projects, Jennifer P. Painter, No. 2, 3-14